



Regulatory Program

INTERIM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in the Interim Approved Jurisdictional Determination Form User Manual.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (AJD): 12/19/2018

B. ORM NUMBER IN APPROPRIATE FORMAT (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ): NWS-2018-991

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State:WA County/parish/borough: Pierce City: Buckley
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 47.14867, Long122.0256.
Map(s)/diagram(s) of review area (including map identifying single point of entry (SPOE) watershed and/or potential
jurisdictional areas where applicable) is/are: ⊠attached ☐ in report/map titled .
Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a
different jurisdictional determination (JD) form. List JD form ID numbers (e.g., HQ-2015-00001-SMJ-1):
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:
Office (Desk) Determination Only. Date: 12/18/2018.
Office (Desk) and Field Determination. Office/Desk Dates: Field Date(s):
SECTION II: DATA SOURCES Check all that were used to sid in the determination and attach data/mans to this A ID form and/or references/sitation
Check all that were used to aid in the determination and attach data/maps to this AJD form and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate
in the administrative record, as appropriate.
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Title/Date: Drawings, 01/01/2018.
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Data sheets/delineation report are sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Title/Date:
Data sheets/delineation report are not sufficient for purposes of AJD form. Summarize rationale and include
information on revised data sheets/delineation report that this AJD form has relied upon:
Revised Title/Date:
Data sheets prepared by the Corps. Title/Date:
Corps navigable waters study. Title/Date:
CorpsMap ORM map layers. Title/Date:
USGS Hydrologic Atlas. Title/Date:
USGS, NHD, or WBD data/maps. Title/Date: Spiketon Ditch Review Map, 12/17/18.
USGS 8, 10 and/or 12 digit HUC maps. HUC number:
USGS maps. Scale & quad name and date:
USDA NRCS Soil Survey. Citation:
USFWS National Wetlands Inventory maps. Citation: Spiketon Ditch Review Map, 12/17/18.
State/Local wetland inventory maps. Citation:
FEMA/FIRM maps. Citation:
Photographs: Aerial. Citation: . or Other. Citation: .
LiDAR data/maps. Citation:
Previous JDs. File no. and date of JD letter:
Applicable/supporting case law: .
Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
Other information (please specify): Spiketon Road Reconnaissance Report, 08/01/2017.

Page 1 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015

SECTION III: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Complete ORM "Aquatic Resource Upload Sheet" or Export and Print the Aquatic Resource Screen from ORM for All Waters and Features, Regardless of Jurisdictional Status – Required

	RIVERS AND HARBORS ACT (RHA) SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION:
	"navigable waters of the U.S." within RHA jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
	Complete Table 1 - Required
	TE: If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Section
10	navigable waters list, DO NOT USE THIS FORM TO MAKE THE DETERMINATION. The District must continue to
oll	ow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Section 10 RHA navigability determination.
	CLEAN WATER ACT (CWA) SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION: "waters of the U.S." within
CW	/A jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328.3) in the review area. Check all that apply.
	(a)(1): All waters which are currently used, were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or
	foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. (Traditional Navigable
	Waters (TNWs))
	Complete Table 1 - Required
	☐ This AJD includes a case-specific (a)(1) TNW (Section 404 navigable-in-fact) determination on a water that
	has not previously been designated as such. Documentation required for this case-specific (a)(1) TNW
	determination is attached.
	(a)(2): All interstate waters, including interstate wetlands.
_	Complete Table 2 - Required
	(a)(3): The territorial seas.
_	Complete Table 3 - Required
	(a)(4): All impoundments of waters otherwise identified as waters of the U.S. under 33 CFR part 328.3.
_	• Complete Table 4 - Required
	(a)(5): All tributaries, as defined in 33 CFR part 328.3, of waters identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR
_	part 328.3.
	Complete Table 5 - Required
	(a)(6): All waters adjacent to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3, including
	wetlands, ponds, lakes, oxbows, impoundments, and similar waters.
	Complete Table 6 - Required
	Bordering/Contiguous.
	Neighboring:
	(c)(2)(i): All waters located within 100 feet of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) of a water identified in
	paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
	(c)(2)(ii): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of
	33 CFR part 328.3 and not more than 1,500 feet of the OHWM of such water.
	(c)(2)(iii): All waters located within 1,500 feet of the high tide line of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1) or
	(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3, and all waters within 1,500 feet of the OHWM of the Great Lakes.
	(a)(7): All waters identified in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(7)(i)-(v) where they are determined, on a case-specific basis, to
_	have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.
	• Complete Table 7 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE
	watershed boundary with (a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis Required
	Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established,
	normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent
	and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
	(a)(8): All waters located within the 100-year floodplain of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33
_	CFR part 328.3 not covered by (c)(2)(ii) above and all waters located within 4,000 feet of the high tide line or
	OHWM of a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(5) of 33 CFR part 328.3 where they are determined on a
	case-specific basis to have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3) of 33 CFR part
	328.3.
	Complete Table 8 for the significant nexus determination. Attach a map delineating the SPOE
	watershed boundary with (a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis Required
	Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for established,
	normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent
	and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.
	מווע ופקעווים מ טמטפיסףפטוויט און וווויטמווג וופגעט עפגפווווווומגויטוו.

Page 2 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015

C. NON-WATERS OF THE U.S. FINDINGS:	
Check all that apply.	
The review area is comprised entirely of dry land.	
Potential-(a)(7) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-	
(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3. • Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential	
(a)(7) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis Required	
Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for establishe	М
normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent	ω,
and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.	
Potential-(a)(8) Waters: Waters that DO NOT have a significant nexus to a water identified in paragraphs (a)(1)-	
(a)(3) of 33 CFR part 328.3.	
 Complete Table 9 and attach a map delineating the SPOE watershed boundary with potential 	
(a)(8) waters identified in the similarly situated analysis Required	
Includes water(s) that are geographically and physically adjacent per (a)(6), but are being used for establishe	d,
normal farming, silviculture, and ranching activities (33 USC Section 1344(f)(1)) and therefore are not adjacent	
and require a case-specific significant nexus determination.	
 Excluded Waters (Non-Waters of U.S.), even where they otherwise meet the terms of paragraphs (a)(4)-(a)(8): Complete Table 10 - Required 	
(b)(1): Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of	:
the CWA.	
(b)(2): Prior converted cropland.	
\square (b)(3)(i): Ditches with ephemeral flow that are not a relocated tributary or excavated in a tributary.	
(b)(3)(ii): Ditches with intermittent flow that are not a relocated tributary, excavated in a tributary, or drain	
wetlands.	
(b)(3)(iii): Ditches that do not flow, either directly or through another water, into a water identified in	
paragraphs (a)(1)-(a)(3).	
(b)(4)(i): Artificially irrigated areas that would revert to dry land should application of water to that area cease. (b)(4)(ii): Artificial, constructed lakes and ponds created in dry land such as farm and stock watering ponds,	
irrigation ponds, settling basins, fields flooded for rice growing, log cleaning ponds, or cooling ponds.	
(b)(4)(iii): Artificial reflecting pools or swimming pools created in dry land. ¹	
(b)(4)(iv): Small ornamental waters created in dry land. ¹	
(b)(4)(v): Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to mining or construction activity, including	
pits excavated for obtaining fill, sand, or gravel that fill with water.	
\square (b)(4)(vi): Erosional features, including gullies, rills, and other ephemeral features that do not meet the	
definition of tributary, non-wetland swales, and lawfully constructed grassed waterways.1	
(b)(4)(vii): Puddles. ¹	
(b)(5): Groundwater, including groundwater drained through subsurface drainage systems. ¹	
(b)(6): Stormwater control features constructed to convey, treat, or store stormwater that are created in dry land.1	
(b)(7): Wastewater recycling structures created in dry land; detention and retention basins built for wastewate	٠r
recycling; groundwater recharge basins; percolation ponds built for wastewater recycling; and water	
distributary structures built for wastewater recycling.	
Other non-jurisdictional waters/features within review area that do not meet the definitions in 33 CFR 328.3 of	
(a)(1)-(a)(8) waters and are not excluded waters identified in (b)(1)-(b)(7).	
Complete Table 11 - Required.	

Version: October 1, 2015

¹ In many cases these excluded features will not be specifically identified on the AJD form, unless specifically requested. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these features within the review area.

Page 3 of 7

Version: October 1, 20

Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S.

Default field entry is "N/A". Delete "N/A" and fill out all fields in the table where applicable for waters/features present in the review area.

Table 1. (a)(1) Traditional Navigable Waters

(a)(1) Waters Name	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale to Support (a)(1) Designation Include High Tide Line or Ordinary High Water Mark indicators, when applicable.
N/A	Choose an item.	N/A

Table 2. (a)(2) Interstate Waters

(a)(2) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(2) Designation	
N/A	N/A	

Table 3. (a)(3) Territorial Seas

(a)(3) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(3) Designation	
N/A	N/A	

Table 4. (a)(4) Impoundments

(a)(4) Waters Name	Rationale to Support (a)(4) Designation	
N/A	N/A	

Page 4 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015

Table 5. (a)(5)Tributaries

(a)(5) Waters Name	Flow Regime	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this (a)(5) Tributary Flows	Tributary Breaks	Rationale for (a)(5) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify flowpath to (a)(1)-(a)(3) water or attach map identifying the flowpath; explain any breaks or flow through excluded/non-jurisdictional features, etc.
--------------------	-------------	--	---------------------	--

Table 6. (a)(6) Adjacent Waters

(a)(6) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(5) Water Name to which this Water is Adjacent	Rationale for (a)(6) Designation and Additional Discussion. Identify the type of water and how the limits of jurisdiction were established (e.g., wetland, 87 Manual/Regional Supplement); explain how the 100-year floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; whether this water extends beyond a threshold; explain if the water is part of a mosaic, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A

Page 5 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015

Table 7. (a)(7) Waters

SPOE Name	(a)(7) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus	Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; discuss whether any similarly situated waters were present and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 8. (a)(8) Waters

SPOE Name	(a)(8) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water has a Significant Nexus	Significant Nexus Determination Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to subject water and aggregated for SND; discuss data, provide analysis, and then summarize how the waters have more than speculative or insubstantial effect the on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water, etc.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Page 6 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015

Default field entry is "N/A". Delete "N/A" and fill out all fields in the table where applicable for waters/features present in the review area.

Table 9. Non-Waters/No Significant Nexus

SPOE Name	Non-(a)(7)/(a)(8) Waters Name	(a)(1)-(a)(3) Water Name to which this Water DOES NOT have a Significant Nexus	Basis for Determination that the Functions DO NOT Contribute Significantly to the Chemical, Physical, or Biological Integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) Water. Identify SPOE watershed; explain how 100-yr floodplain and/or the distance threshold was determined; discuss whether waters were determined to be similarly situated to the subject water; discuss data, provide analysis, and summarize how the waters did not have more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the (a)(1)-(a)(3) water.
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Table 10. Non-Waters/Excluded Waters and Features

Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water Name	Rationale for Paragraph (b) Excluded Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.
Spiketon Ditch	The Spiketon Map indicates there are no known wetlands bordering the ditch according the NWI, and the Spiketon ditch in question is depicted as a known ditch/channel and not a stream or creek according to the NHD. Correspondence with the agent and review of the Spiketon Map and Reconnaissance Report indicate the ditch has intermittent flow and was dug in uplands for storm water runoff. The ditch is fed by seasonal rainfall and storm water runoff with high flow in the fall/winter months, and low or no flow in the spring and summer months. The agent stated any low flow in the ditch during the spring/summer is likely due to overflow input from a nearby storm water treatment plant or possibly from ground water input as the surrounding land has a high water table. The Spiketon Map of the NWI and Reconnaissance Report indicate the only known or confirmed wetlands neighbor the ditch to the northwest and northeast, however the ditch does not run through the wetlands, rather through uplands and portions of the wetland buffers. The Reconnaissance Report discussed although there are small patches of hydrophytic vegetation south of the ditch, the presence of wetlands was unconfirmed due to the lack of hydrology and hydric soils. Additionally, 1944 historic aerials and topographic maps from https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer accessed on December 18, 2018 does not indicate the ditch is a relocated tributary or was dug in a tributary, rather the ditches were likely excavated in uplands to collect rain and storm water runoff as a result of the increased development in the area.

Table 11. Non-Waters/Other

Other Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water Name	Rationale for Non-Waters of U.S. Feature/Water and Additional Discussion.
N/A	N/A

Page 7 of 7 Version: October 1, 2015